NJESR/ Feburary2023/Volume5/Issue 2 E-ISSN-2582-5836

DOI-10.53571/NJESR.2023.5.2.59-70

A Study The Mid-Latitude Ionosphere To Geomagnetic Storms Depends Upon Several

Pre-Storm Conditions Sapna Chouhan, Dr.Ram Mohan Singh Bhadoria Research Scholar, Associate Professor Department Of Physics Sunrise Univerisity

Alwar

(Received:27January2023/Revised:15Feburary2023/Accepted:20Feburary2023/Published:28Feburary2023)

Abstract

The F2-region is the focus of this review, which examines our current understanding of ionosphere storms as well as recent developments in the field. Storms in the ionosphere are an extreme form of space weather that have a significant impact on technological systems on Earth and in space. These phenomena are caused by highly variable solar and magnetosphere energy inputs to the Earth's upper atmosphere. This makes it difficult to use increasingly sophisticated global first principle physical models to accurately simulate the storm response of coupled neutral and ionized upper atmospheric constituents. Currently, a number of significant programs for coordinated theoretical and experimental research on these storms are in progress. Improved physical understanding and prediction of the effects of ionospheric storms at high, middle, and low latitudes are the first signs of these bearing fruit.

Keywords: Ionospheric, Storm, Low Latitude, Magnetic Field, Magnetosphere etc. Introduction

The relationship between our planet and the Sun is delicate. From violent explosions on the Sun's surface, a supersonic stream of radiation, charged particles, and magnetic flux enters the solar system. The constant flow of the solar wind surrounds Earth, a small planet in a much larger system. By directing solar particles away from Earth's surface and atmosphere, which would otherwise be bombarded, the planet's magnetic field safeguards life. The shielded magnetosphere is not completely inaccessible. In the near-Earth space environment, dynamic magnetic interactions inject solar particles into the atmosphere at high latitudes. These upward field lines give a critical energy input. Magnetic flux convection over the polar regions, brought on by the

interaction between the solar wind and Earth's magnetic field, powers wide-area circulatory current systems.

This solar-terrestrial system's final component and energy sink is the ionosphere. An ionosphere that is essentially more mind boggling than that found somewhere else at center and low scopes is framed when the magnetosphere at high scopes is connected to it. Even though humans have observed the ethereal lights of the aurora throughout history, they are just one of a number of fascinating ionospheric phenomena that are only found in the Arctic and Antarctic latitudes. During brief periods of space weather, plasma behavior such as flow patterns with high velocity and a sudden localized increase in electron density can occur. The Earth's ionosphere has a direct connection to radio communication because the free electrons in plasma affect how electromagnetic waves travel. This research focuses on how the ionosphere at high latitudes affects GNSS signals, which are distorted and delayed as they travel from satellite to ground through the ionosphere.

Ionospheric sparkle, a proliferation effect caused by variations and slopes in electron thickness, is the most hazardous of these impacts for timing and routing applications. Refractive and diffractive processes create interference on the wave front as a signal moves through highly structured electron density regions. From the point of view of GNSS users, severe scintillation activity can result in the loss of satellite links due to deep signal power fading and receiver tracking loss, which can disrupt or degrade service. GNSS signals, on the other hand, can be used for remote sensing because they are influenced by the ionosphere. Scientists still don't know what physical processes cause scintillation at high latitudes because they are hard to predict and work on different time and space scales. Additionally, it is difficult to operate equipment in the extreme environments of the Arctic and Antarctic, which is why no previous observations have been made from high-latitude locations. However, coverage of the polar regions by ground-based GNSS receivers has improved over the past ten years. The extent of scintillation activity and the presence of ionospheric irregularities are assessed in this study using GPS scintillation receiver measurements. Remote Antarctic locations have been served by GPS scintillation receivers that are specialized. A multi-instrument approach is required to investigate the ionospheric behavior that causes scintillation activity. The second major tool used in this research is GNSS tomography, which has become a useful method for imaging the global and regional ionosphere structure. For diagnosing the ionosphere's response to geomagnetic disturbances, it is essential to

know when dynamic and moving large-scale structures are likely to exhibit strong plasma gradients or break down to smaller scales as irregularities causing scintillation. The initial objective of the development of computed tomography (CT) methods was medical X-ray body scanning.

Ionosphere

Extreme ultraviolet (EUV) and x-ray solar radiation ionize the atoms and molecules in the Ionosphere, which is a portion of Earth's upper atmosphere between 80 and about 600 km. This results in the formation of an electron layer. Radio waves that are used for communication and navigation are reflected and altered by the ionosphere, which makes it significant. Cosmic rays and other energetic charged particles, for example, can also contribute to the ionosphere and have an ionizing effect.

The high energy EUV and X-ray photons from the sun have an effect on the atoms and molecules in the atmosphere. How much energy (photon motion) at EUV and x-beam frequencies changes by almost an element of ten over the long term sun based cycle. The thickness of the ionosphere changes in like manner. Within the ionosphere, the D, E, and Flayers are formed by the spectral variability of solar radiation and the density of various atmospheric constituents. The charging of the ionosphere is also affected by other solar phenomena like flares, changes in the solar wind, and geomagnetic storms. Because solar irradiance is responsible for the greatest amount of ionization, the nightside of the earth and the pole that faces away from the sun have significantly less ionization than the dayside of the earth and the pole that faces in the opposite direction.

Low And Equatorial Latitudes

The day side equator should typically have the highest ionospheric electron density during the equinox, when the Sun is directly overhead and the ionization rate is at its highest [Hargreaves, 1979]. The equatorial ionosphere contains some of the highest electron concentrations on Earth throughout the day. Due to its strong interaction with radio transmissions, the equator has become a significant focus of ionospheric research [e.g., Gwal et al., 2004]. At the geomagnetic equator, field lines are aligned horizontally overhead in a direction of South-North. Additionally, there is a horizontal electric field that is pointing East and is generated in the E region. The ionosphere is first lifted at the equator by daytime tropospheric heating. When an electric and a magnetic field are present, electromagnetic drift, or EB force, lifts electrons into the air. After

that, the lines of the magnetic field lead the plasma to higher latitudes, where it converges in two F region bands 15 degrees from the geomagnetic equator; the equatorial or Appleton anomalies. The entire mechanism is referred to as the fountain effect, which was first explicitly described in 1966 by Hanson and Moffett.

The plasma remains suspended all night after sunset when the horizontal magnetic field is present. At higher altitudes, recombination may take longer due to the neutral atmosphere's low density. Thus, the Rayleigh-Taylor unsteadiness permits "bubble" designs to frame in the plasma from its base up for a couple hundred to 1,000 kilometers [Kintner et al., 2007]. Also, these exhaustion locales can continuously move to higher scopes and stretch along attractive field lines. An unstable region with a high electron density gradient can severely impede nighttime ionospheric radio communications. Dawn encounters an expansion in the pace of ionizing creation and fills in consumption locales; The ionosphere returns to its more stable state during the day.

Mid-Latitudes

According to Hunsucker & Hargreaves (2003), the mid-latitude ionosphere is the area in which science has conducted the most research and gained the most knowledge. This is understandable given that the human population is primarily concentrated in these latitudes and that radio communication between people gave rise to knowledge of the ionosphere (e.g., Marconi, 1901). Kintner's review of GPS and ionospheric scintillation [2007] suggests that the mid-latitude ionosphere is indeed the least understood in its behavior, despite the fact that it is widely accepted that direct solar EUV and X-ray exposure is the primary source of ionization in this region. It is challenging to distinguish mid-latitude-specific processes in the presence of secondary coupling effects brought on by intense activity at the magnetic equator and the auroral regions. Kintner argues that because the more active equatorial and high-latitude ionospheres receive more attention and resources, the mid-latitude ionosphere is overlooked in terms of useful observation. The development of knowledge regarding the mid-latitude ionosphere and its effects on radio propagation will be aided by the expansion of global monitoring networks and advancements in modeling.

The High Latitude Ionosphere

Ionospheric conduct varies fundamentally from that noticed somewhere else on Earth at scopes more prominent than 60 degrees in both the Northern and Southern halves of the globe. On a global scale, solar EUV and X-ray radiation make up almost all of the ionosphere, but magnetospheric interaction takes over at high latitudes. Because the geomagnetic field lines here tend to be vertical, trapped solar particles accelerate downward into the upper atmosphere as a result of the Lorentz force. Through collisional responses, lively molecule precipitation can arrive at different heights and lift free electron creation. This additional ionization can be extremely localized and sporadic during geomagnetic disturbances. The course of ionospheric plasma in float designs related with magnetospheric linkage at high scopes is one more outcome of vertical field line math. The twisted magnetic components of a perturbed solar wind stream have the potential to completely alter and influence these flows. As a result, the high-latitude ionosphere has some of the most dynamic and erratic behavior and structuring on Earth because it has a complex mix of production, loss, and transport. The most recent knowledge of the subject is presented in a very clear manner in the textbook written by Hunsucker and Hargreaves [2003].

Storm-Time Ionospheric

Density Structures During storms, the ionosphere develops a variety of electron density structures, typically accompanied by significant electron density gradients, which can affect radio signal propagation (Wernik et al., 2003). During geomagnetic disturbances, these structures are the primary space weather concerns in the upper atmosphere. They have the potential to disrupt communication and navigation signals. The spatial scale of these storm-time electron density structures ranges from several kilometers to thousands of kilometers. A list of storm-time electron density structures investigated in this study can be found below.

Ionospheric Storm

During geomagnetic storms, large-scale changes in the ionospheric electron density are referred to as "ionospheric storms." The ionosphere's responses to storms are quite complex because of its strong electromagnetic coupling to the solar wind-magnetosphere and collisional coupling to the thermosphere. During a storm, the electron density in the mid-latitude region can either rise or fall. A positive storm is one in which the ionosphere density exceeds the quiet-time value for an extended period of time, while a negative storm is one in which the density falls below the quiettime value. The TEC that was observed at Saga More Hill on May 14, 1969 is depicted. The

storm's positive phase was brief, lasting just a few hours, while the storm's negative phase lasted for several days.

Discovery Of The Ionosphere

Disclosure of the ionosphere reached out over almost a long period. Carl Friedrich Gauss, a German mathematician, proposed in 1839 that the observed variations in Earth's magnetic field could be explained by an electrically conducting region of the atmosphere. Before definitive evidence was obtained in 1925, others invoked the concept of a conducting region to explain the transmission of radio signals around the Earth's surface curve, most notably in 1902 by the American engineer Arthur E. Kennelly and the English physicist Oliver Heaviside. The ion-rich region was known as the Kennelly-Heaviside layer for some time.

In the 1920s, the term "ionosphere" was first used, and a committee of the Institute of Radio Engineers officially defined it in 1950 as "the part of the earth's upper atmosphere where ions and electrons are present in quantities sufficient to affect the propagation of radio waves." Radio engineers inspired a lot of the early research on the ionosphere because they needed to figure out what factors affect long-range radio communication. Understanding the ionosphere as the environment for Earth-orbiting satellites and ballistic missile flight in the military has been the focus of subsequent research. Through measurements of relevant atomic and molecular processes in the laboratory and a steady stream of data from spacecraft-borne instruments, scientific knowledge of the ionosphere has greatly expanded.

Literature Review

With a focus on radio system observations, this provides an overview of the ionosphere at high latitudes. The primary research questions are followed by an overview of the most recent developments in ionospheric imaging and scintillation research.

The Ionosphere: Basic Concepts

The ionosphere is a layer of the atmosphere that is partially ionized between 70 and 1,000 kilometers above sea level. The following method is used to investigate the numerous significant properties of the ionosphere. In addition to negative ions, it primarily consists of electrons with negative charges and positively charged ions.

Formation

To comprehend the ionosphere's formation, it is necessary to comprehend how various parts of the atmosphere absorb solar radiation. In the troposphere, atmospheric temperature initially decreases with altitude (0-10 km) at a lapse rate of approximately 7 K per kilometer. At a distance of 10 kilometers, or the tropopause, this temperature trend reverses, and the atmosphere's temperature begins to rise with altitude throughout the stratosphere. This rising trend is due to the stratospheric ozone layer's capacity to absorb ultraviolet solar radiation. Ozone atoms become eager to higher temperatures as they retain the sun's high-energy UV radiation. The local maximum temperature, or stratopause, is about 50 kilometers away. At altitudes greater than 50 kilometers, temperature decreases as a result of radiative cooling in the mesosphere. The thermosphere begins above 90 kilometers, at the mesopause, where this process causes an altitudinal decrease in atmospheric temperature to 130-190 K. The temperature in this layer will in general ascent with height. Due to the retention of high-energy sun powered photons with energies well over 1,000 K, the thermosphere's temperature climb is more noteworthy than that of the lower climatic layers. These photons, for the most part UV and EUV radiation from the sun, have sufficient energy to ionize the atoms in the climate and produce plasma in the sunlit side of the equator of the earth. The ionosphere is primarily created by photoionization of solar radiation, as stated by Kelley (2009). The figure shows the typical midlatitude atmospheric temperature profile.

A plasma, according to Chen (1984), is a quasineutral gas of neutral and charged particles that behaves collectively. Starting with this definition might be a good idea. A plasma contains charged particles, such as positively charged ions and negatively charged electrons, regardless of the presence of neutral particles. The ionization will continue if the temperature of the gas is high enough. A crucial plasma parameter can be represented by the number density of charged particles, either ions or electrons, in the plasma. Because of quasineutrality, it is normal practice to depend entirely on the electron thickness in the plasma. In order to achieve quasineutrality, the number of ions (ni) and electrons (ne) in the plasma must roughly match.

$$
n_i \approx n_e = n
$$

The plasma density (n), as shown in Equation, can also represent the electron density (ne) in a plasma. Particle motion is coupled with local currents, electric fields, and magnetic fields in the plasma, as suggested by collective behavior. Particles in one region of the plasma interact with particles far away because of this coupling. As a result, the plasma as a whole behaves like a single entity.

Stratification

The ionosphere itself is stratified horizontally into three distinct regions in order of decreasing altitude: the F-locale, the E-area, and the D-district. Despite the fact that the boundaries between these regions are not clearly defined, the classification is based on the predominant electron density of the plasma in the respective regions and their primary ionizing sources (Chapman Profiles). Photoionization by sun powered radiation is principally liable for keeping up with the ionosphere. Consequently, there is more ionization in the F-region than there is in the E-region, which in turn experiences more ionization than the D-region. The figure shows a typical daytime ionospheric plasma density profile.

Between 150 km and 500 km in elevation is the F-area. O+ ions dominate this region because O2 molecules are photodissociated by UV radiation. At the highest point of the plasma density shown in Figure, almost all of the ions are actually O+ because of the high concentration of atomic oxygen in the neutral gas. Normally, the district's plasma thickness relates to the number thickness of these particles, which should be reflected by the number thickness of electrons (and negative particles) because of the idea of quasineutrality (Kelley, 2009). During the day, the job of atomic particles makes the F-area of the ionosphere be additionally separated into the F1-and F2-districts. Because of this, the F2-region, which is above the F1-region, has a slightly higher plasma density. The F1- and F2-regions essentially merge into a single F-region at night due to the absence of the photoionizing source. The F-region's peak plasma density is approximately 106 per cubic centimeter, or 1012 per cubic meter, during the day. The E-region is located between 90 and 150 kilometers in altitude. Since it is overwhelmed by the accompanying quick recombination responses, the plasma densities in the E-locale of the ionosphere are lower than in the F-district.

$$
NO^+ + e^- \bigl(\bigl(\bigl(\bigl(\mathrel{\rightharpoonup} \bigl(\mathrel{\rightharpoonup} \bigr) N \bigr) + O
$$

and

$$
O_{2^{\text{+}}} + e^{\text{-}} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} O + O
$$

At higher altitudes, plasma density tends to be lower in the Eregion than in the Fregion as a result of this neutralizing process. The E-region's peak plasma density is therefore approximately 105 per cubic centimeter or 1011 per cubic meter in the absence of any anomalies and in good weather. The E-region of the ionosphere typically experiences a nighttime decay to lower plasma

densities due to the absence of the sun. The D-region of the ionosphere was thought to be of little use in plasma physics due to its extremely low ionization levels. The D-region is characterized by plasma densities between 108 and 109 per cubic meter, or 100 and 1000 per cubic centimeter, or 90 and 90 kilometers above the earth's surface (Kelley, 2009). According to Kelley (2009), collisions between neutral and charged particles are what distinguish the plasma in the D-region from that in the E- and F-regions. Since a plasma is more "collisional," the D-locale is likewise helpless to strong instruments of recombination and totally vanishes around evening time. Because the plasma is quickly neutralized by recombination in the absence of solar radiation, this indicates that there is almost no ionization in the D-region at night.

Conclusion

The most important pre-storm conditions for the mid-latitude ionosphere's response to geomagnetic storms are the season and the local time of the storm's commencement (SC). The combination of processes connected to solar production and magnetospheric input, respectively, is governed by the difference between a site's geomagnetic and geographic latitudes. Electrodynamics assumes a focal part in storm morphologies at sub-auroral locales: It influences the motions of the trough on subsequent nights, the Joule heating that drives the negative phase, and the positive phase near dusk on the first day of a storm. We provide the best methods to evaluate magnetosphere-ionosphere coupling and identify two key locations that are "geophysically-equivalent" sites for examining ionospheric storm consistency. Over seven-day storm periods, we construct average patterns of NmF2 (percent) versus local time that better depict the characteristics of ionospheric storms' positive and negative phases.

Figure 1: Ionosphere On Earth Planet[1]

Figure 2 : [Layers Of Earth's Ionosphere](https://cdn.britannica.com/46/109746-050-9511BBEF/differences-layers-ionosphere-Earth.jpg)

References

[1]. Mendillo, M., and C. Narvaez. "Ionospheric storms at geophysically-equivalent sites–Part 1: Storm-time patterns for sub-auroral ionospheres." In Annales Geophysicae, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1679-1694. Copernicus GmbH, 2009.

[2]. Mendillo, M., and C. Narvaez. "Ionospheric storms at geophysically-equivalent sites–Part 2: Local time storm patterns for sub-auroral ionospheres." In Annales Geophysicae, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 1449-1462. Copernicus GmbH, 2010.

[3]. Kotulak, Kacper, Andrzej Krankowski, Adam Froń, Paweł Flisek, Ningbo Wang, Zishen Li, and Leszek Błaszkiewicz. "Sub-Auroral and Mid-Latitude GNSS ROTI Performance during Solar Cycle 24 Geomagnetic Disturbed Periods: Towards Storm's Early Sensing." Sensors 21, no. 13 (2021): 4325.

[4]. Liu, Jing, Wenbin Wang, Alan G. Burns, and Qinghe Zhang. "Structured Storm‐Time Polar Ionosphere and Its Drivers: A Review." Upper Atmosphere Dynamics and Energetics (2021): 239-252.

[5]. Karpachev, Alexander. "Sub-auroral, mid-latitude, and low-latitude troughs during severe geomagnetic storms." Remote Sensing 13, no. 3 (2021): 534.

[6]. Horvath, Ildiko, and Brian C. Lovell. "Subauroral flow channel structures and auroral undulations triggered by Kelvin‐Helmholtz waves." Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 126, no. 6 (2021): e2021JA029144.

[7]. Zou, Shasha, Jiaen Ren, Zihan Wang, Hu Sun, and Yang Chen. "Impact of Storm-Enhanced Density (SED) on ion upflow fluxes during geomagnetic storm." Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences (2021): 162.

[8]. Billett, Daniel D., and Kathryn A. McWilliams. "The SuperDARN's role in ion-neutral coupling research." Polar Science 28 (2021): 100624.

[9]. Wang, Xin, Juan Miao, Xian Lu, Ercha Aa, Ji Liu, Yuxian Wang, and Siqing Liu. "Latitudinal Impacts of Joule Heating on the High‐Latitude Thermospheric Density Enhancement During Geomagnetic Storms." Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 126, no. 7 (2021): e2020JA028747.

[10]. Reiter, Kyle, Sébastien Guillon, Martin Connors, and Brian Jackel. "Statistics of large impulsive magnetic events in the auroral zone." arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.05665 (2021).

[11]. Cherniak, Iurii, and Irina Zakharenkova. "Development of the Storm-Induced Ionospheric Irregularities at Equatorial and Middle Latitudes During the 25–26 August 2018 Geomagnetic Storm." Space Weather 20, no. 2 (2022): e2021SW002891.

[12]. Heilig, Balázs, Claudia Stolle, Guram Kervalishvili, Jan Rauberg, Yoshizumi Miyoshi, Fuminori Tsuchiya, Atsushi Kumamoto et al. "Relation of the Plasmapause to the Midlatitude Ionospheric Trough, the Sub‐Auroral Temperature Enhancement and the Distribution of Small‐Scale Field Aligned Currents as Observed in the Magnetosphere by THEMIS, RBSP, and Arase, and in the Topside Ionosphere by Swarm." Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 127, no. 3 (2022): e2021JA029646.

[13]. Falayi, E. O., J. O. Adepitan, and O. O. Ogundile. "Analysis of Geomagnetic and Geoelectric Fields During Geomagnetic Storm Time Variation Using Wavelet Approach." Geomagnetism and Aeronomy 62, no. 4 (2022): 482-494.

[14]. Swarnalingam, N., D. L. Wu, and N. Gopalswamy. "Interhemispheric Asymmetries in Ionospheric Electron Density Responses During Geomagnetic Storms: A Study Using Space‐Based and Ground‐Based GNSS and AMPERE Observations." Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 127, no. 5 (2022): e2021JA030247.