NJESR/November-2024/Volume-6/Issue-11 E-ISSN-2582-5836
DOI-10.53571/NJESR.2024.6.11.1-12

The Succession Of Forest Trees: Ecological Consciousness Of Henry David Thoreau

Revant Gautam Dr.Rachna Yadav
Research Scholar Associate Professor
Maharaja Agrasen Himalayan Garhwal University
revantgautam55@gmail.com

(Received-10October2024/Revised-25October2024/Accepted-10November22024/Published-23November2024)

Abstract

This paper examines Henry David Thoreau's ecological consciousness, focusing on the succession of forest trees. Thoreau, a renowned transcendentalist philosopher, studied the dynamics of forest ecosystems, particularly the succession of tree species over time. His observationsofWaldenPondandtheNewEngland landscaperevealedthe interconnectednessof plants, animals, andtheenvironment. Thoreau'secologicalconsciousnesswasdeeplyintertwined with his philosophical and ethical beliefs, advocating for a harmonious coexistence with nature and valuing biodiversity and ecological integrity. Thoreau's appreciation for nature's beauty and resilience continues to guide efforts towards ecological stewardship and sustainability.

Keywords: **Henry David Thoreau, Ecological Consciousness, Forest Succession, Transcendentalist Philosophy, Environmental Stewardship**

Introduction

The usefulness of the history of science in fostering students' comprehension of the nature of science (hereinafter referred to as NOS) is one of the primary reasons why history of science is utilized in classroom training. As stated in documents such as the National Science Education Standards, it is essential to assist students in developing their understanding of NOS. This isdone with the intention of enabling them to become more critical consumers of the veryscientific knowledge that is having an increasing impact on their day-to-day lives (National Research Council, 1996).

Research in the field of science education highlights the significance of requiring students to explicitly and reflectively analyze NOS tenets when they are being instructed (Howe & Rudge, 2005; Khisfhe & Abd-ElKhalick, 2002). The term "explicit learning" refers to the process by which one or more of the pertinent NOS tenets are directly targeted for students to evaluate through means of some part of the instructional process. While the alternative (didactic) approach,inwhichateacher"tells"studentshowtheNOStenetappliestoacertain circumstance, emphasizes the importance of students being challenged to create their own conceptual grasp of the NOS principles, reflective learning emphasizes the importance of students being challenged to develop their own understanding of the NOS tenets.

The degree to which NOS training is embedded in a context is another key factor to take into consideration when it comes to NOS instruction (Clough, 2006). At one end of the spectrum, there are decontextual techniques. These approaches involve exposing students to a variety of "black box" exercises (Lederman & Abd-El-Khalick, 1998) and having them explore relevant NOS ideas in an explicit and reflective manner. However, as Clough (2006) points out, when students only learn such tenets in a decontextual approach, they may leave instruction with a dualistic conception of NOS. This means that they may believe that what happens in "real science" is different from what they learned during the decontextual activity. This can be a very powerful introduction to NOS.

For students to learn NOS tenets in an explicitand reflective manner, the use of history of science in instruction is a potential contextual approach. The literature on science education contains numerous examples of its use in this regard (for example, Howe (2007), Monk and Osborne (1997), Khisfhe and Abd-El-Khalick (2002), and Solomon, Duveen, Scot, and McCarthy(1992)). These studies do, in fact, provide empiricalevidence, albeit ofa limited kind, that the instrumental use of history of science can assist students in developing more educated conceptions of NOS. The purpose of these studies is to effectively present a variety of ways in which history has been utilized in this regard; however, they do not provide sufficient detail for teachers to replicate their approaches (how to go about identifying and connecting relevant aspects of the history of science to the important tenets of the NOS). It is essential to assist students in developing their understanding ofthe natureofscience so that theycan become more critical consumers ofthe very scientific knowledge that is increasingly affecting their day-to-day lives.

The purpose of this article is to give a technique for educators who aim to use one or more episodes from the history of science to assist their students with learning more informed NOS conceptions inanexplicit and reflective manner. Withthe useofthisstrategy, one can learnhow to recognize the pertinent NOS tenets that are demonstrated in the episode.

2. Learn how to develop classroom tasks that require students to actively and reflectively evaluate NOS by utilizing the historical episode as a framework.

An illustration of this method is provided by utilizing the writings of Henry David Thoreau and the fundamental ideas of ecological forest succession. In spite of the fact that several of the specific aspects of the Thoreau lessons are going to be explored in the following sections,justthe most remarkable aspects are going to be highlighted here for the sake of space. There is no doubt that readers are strongly encouraged to download supplementary materials from theauthor.These materials includethe lessonplansas wellasacomprehensivediscussiononhowto conduct research on historical events related to science for the purpose of implementing them in the classroom.

AimOfStudy

TheNatureOf Science

Essentially, NOS deals with understanding the unique aspects of scientific knowledge or scientific ways of knowing. Stakeholders in science education largely agree that there are fundamental tenets about NOS that students should be learning in the classroom (McComas, 2005). A partial list of these tenets underscores that:

- Sciencedemandsandreliesonempiricalevidence.
- Knowledgeproductioninsciencesharescommonmethodsandshared habitsofmind, norms, logicalthinking, and methods(suchascarefulobservationand data recording, truthfulness in reporting, etc.).

– Experimentsare nottheonlyroutetoknowledge.

– Explanationsinscience(hypothesesandtheories)provideameansfor prediction.

– Scienceusesbothinductivereasoningandhypotheticodeductivetesting.

– However,thereis noone-stepscientificmethodbywhichallscienceisdone.

- Scientific knowledge is tentative, durable, and self-correcting (meaning that science cannot definitively prove anything, but scientific conclusions are still valuable and longlasting because of the way in which they are developed).
- Sciencehasasubjectivecomponent(theory-ladencharacter).
- Science hasacreativecomponent.
- Therearehistorical, cultural,andsocialinfluencesonthepracticeofscience.

ResearchingHenryDavidThoreau&Forest Succession

I was particularly interested in having students learn about fundamental community ecology principles (such as competition, resources, biotic and abiotic factors, dispersion, and succession) with relevance to the subject of forest succession for the introductory biology course that I was teaching at the college level. Additionally, I was interested in having students expand their understanding of features of NOS by making use of any history of scientific work on ecological succession. This was something that the students could do. In the first "phase" of my research, I was required to identify a particular episode in the history of science (in this case, the work of Henry David Thoreau), and I was also required to acquire sufficient knowledge of the general and specific philosophical details of the historical "story" and its actors in order to comprehend how Icould potentiallyuse the episode inthe classroom. This phase is described in further detail in the supplementary resources that can be downloaded for individuals who are interested in conducting historical research.

The following "picture" started to begin to take shape as a result of this research: Henry David Thoreau, a New England native, was deeply interested in natural history and the transcendental movement, advocating for environmental exploitation and the fight against it. According to Bowler and Morus (2005) and Worster (1994), they maintained the fundamental concept that nature possessed the capacity to undergo rebirth and rejuvenation, and that living entities, of which humans were merely a part, were interconnected in a manner that was virtually spiritual and metaphysical in character. In addition, Thoreau's growing interest in the methodical investigation of nature may be traced back to his seeming dedication to a mechanisticphilosophy, which is a philosophy that is intimately connected to the techniques that were established during the Scientific Revolution.

The mechanistic philosophy, developed by Newton, Descartes, Gallileo, and Kepler in the 16th and 17th centuries, posits that allphysicalentities, including living organisms, are machines and should be explained through mechanical interactions. This philosophy contrasts with previous understandings that used mystical or metaphysical concerns.

It is clear from Thoreau's writings that his interest in natural history was driven by two philosophical commitments that were in direct opposition to one another. In addition to being inspired by early holistic writers of nature (such as Gilbert White of Selbourne, England), his holistic feelings about nature reflected the ideals of the Romantic Movement. He was passionately committed to environmental conservation because he witnessed firsthand the reductionofforests and environment inand around his native home. He was also inspired bythe RomanticMovement.Hisworkspromoteaholisticworldview,focusingonintentional interactions between nature and humans. Influenced by mechanical philosophy and inductive analysis, he conducted systematic observations of living things' interrelationships. He spent time in central Massachusetts studying plant life, known as phenology, between 1845 and 1860, publishing his findings in various journals.

Thoreau's works (1906a, 1993) and the articles written by Whitford demonstrated that Thoreau was particularly interested in researching a peculiar problem that was associated with the successionofwood (forest) lots. Thoreau'scounselona varietyofissueswas sought ona regular basis due to the fact thathe was widely known in his community as a naturalist. It is evident fromhis writings that localfarmers frequentlysought his advice inorder to find a solutiontothe problemof how different tree species replaced one another incertain forest stands. I will refer to this as the "Farmers' Problem" from this point forward."

The"Farmers'Problem"

Bythe middle ofthe 19thcentury, a sizeable partof manufactured goods were made fromwood. As a result of this, forestry, also known as forest management, had developed into a large business in New England. It was of utmost importance to farmers that they had a thorough understanding of how to correctly manage, harvest, and, most critically, sustain forest stands. Around the period of the 1850s, farmers in Concord, Massachusetts, were curious about the reason why, once they chopped down a stand of lumber in a certain piece of woodland, the lumber would frequently "come back" as a completely other species of tree. In a woodlot, for instance, cuttingdownhardwoodtrees(likeoak)wouldresult inpitchpinetrees(likewhitepine) taking their place. Similarly, when farmers chop down a pine stand, the same site would eventually be used for cutting down hardwood trees.

Whitford (1950) provides a nice summaryofseveralofthe prevalent explanations that Thoreau's contemporaries held to account for the emergence of new tree species (Table 1). Examples of these explanations include the belief that new species were the result of divine intervention, having arisen from special creation, or spontaneous generation. Thoreau's concluding argument that tree succession in the Farmers' Problem came mostly from differential seed distribution, germination, and seedling survival is included in Table 1, which provides a summary of the numerous hypotheses that have been proposed. The table also provides a summary of the informationthatwasofferedintheWhitfordarticleaswellasfromThoreau'sownwritings

(1906a), which either support or denythe numerous reasons that have been proposed to account for the substitution of tree species in the Farmers' Problem.

Thoreau's systematic approach to understanding new species in deciduous and coniferous trees involved collecting information on seed dispersal, germination, survival rates, and habitats of woodland birds and rodents. He presented a preliminary hypothesis to explain Farmers' Problem due to disparities in seed dispersal and germination, disproving common explanations based on faith or guesswork.

Discussion

IdentifyingGermaneNOSTenets

Decontextuallists ofNOS, suchas theonethat was shownearlier inthis article, are undoubtedly useful for drawing attention to the multifarious nature of NOS; nevertheless, these lists, on their own,arenotconducive forteacherswho wantto buildcurriculumthat incorporatesNOStraining into the lessons that theyteach. Specifically, this is due to the fact that thetenets, intheir current form, do not provide instructors with any specific assistance in linking the conceptual material that they want to utilize in the classroom with the pertinent components of NOS.

It is for this reasonthat it would be beneficial foreducatorsto consider possible NOS instruction not in terms of tenets, but rather in terms of NOS leading questions (Clough, 2006b; Howe, 2007). With regard to the work of Thoreau, I utilized a number of these leading NOS questions when I was contemplating the manner in which Thoreau and his contemporaries attempted tofind a solution to the Farmers' Problem. In particular, I focused on the explanations that they presented, as well as the evidence that they relied upon or the methods that they utilized to address the issue. Utilizing guiding questions from the NOS in this manner.was also veryhelpful when I made the transition to thinking about ways of promoting students to explicitly consider NOS tenetsinconnectionwiththeir examining theconceptualproblems. I used manyof the specific questions during my curriculum development, but I altered them below to a form more conducive for students to use as NOS probes to consider during instruction.

Empirical Nature of Science – Scientists Gather Data Through Their SensoryObservations/ Input

- IsThoreau’sapproachscientific?Whyorwhynot?
- What actions (or methods) did Thoreau use that you feel characterize him as scientific? Are there any that do not?

Part ofwhat embodies a scientific approach is that it relies on empirically-derived data. Thoreau made specific observations of the behavior of various tree species (their lifecycles) and the behavior of various forest inhabitants (e.g., rodents, squirrels). Using specific data, Thoreau deduced that the most fruitful explanation was that the mechanism for tree succession related to the reproductive methods of seed dispersal, germination, and competition for resources.

Thoreau also believed in Linnaeus' claims of organismal hierarchies, which in today's interpretive lensisseenasmetaphysical.This hierarchyproclaimedthat someorganisms bytheir very essence were superordinal to others – more advanced as ordained by creation. Evidence fromThoreau's writings suggeststhat he believed that some species oftrees (e.g.,oaks)werethe finalspecies (now referred to as climax) in a stand of forest because theywere destined to be so according to the hierarchical framework.

Sciencevs.Pseudoscience

What distinguishes Thoreau's explanation to account for the Farmers' Problem from the (then) widely-held belief that trees arose spontaneously?

The beliefthat new tree species could arise spontaneously aligned with a divine interpretation of life. Here, species were seen as created by God and placed upon the dominion of the Earth. The succession of an existing tree species by an entirely new species could be explained by this, however, the explanation is not scientific. It is based uponmanifest faith inthe workofa creator andassuchnotsubject topotentialrefutation. Thoreau'sexplanation(ahypothesisorprovisional theory) allowed him the capability of making accurate predictions of how succession might proceed in various woodlots depending on the existing species of flora and fauna, and as such, his explanation would be subject to potential tests to refute it.

Scientists Are Partially & Unavoidably Subjective in Their Work (and the Development of Theories) – The Theory-Laden NOS

Thoreau embraced the mechanistic philosophy for developing explanations in science. He didnot believe in scientific explanations necessarily tied to higher powers or the manifest work of a creator. In fact, Thoreau's writings support that he believed in the transmutation of species. Following a mechanistic perspective, Thoreau believed that naturalexplanations had causes that were attributable to individual actions that could be identified and isolated.

Table1.ExplanationsOfTheFarmers' Problem

Explanation	EvidenceinSupport	Evidence Against
SpontaneousGeneration	- Belief by Thoreau's contemporaries.	- Thoreau explicitly deniesevidence for this.
	-Alignedwiththebelief in divine creation.	-Non-nativetreesinNewEngland debunk the idea.
SeedsLieinGround	- Thoreau's experimentwith oak acorns.	- Poorgerminationratescontradict the theory.
For ManyYears	-Observationofoak seeds'Behavior.	
and Await Favorable Conditions	-Acceptanceorneedforanalternative explanation.	
forGermination		
Stump Sprouts Around Recently-	- Acknowledgment ofstump sprouts.	- Doesn't explain species succession.
CutTreesMustProduce New	-Weaknessofregeneratedtrees.	
Growth		
Seed Dispersal and Germination	- Analogytopineandmapleseedscarriedbywind.	
(Thoreau'sExplanation)	-Observationofsquirrelbehavior.	
	-Shadetoleranceofoakseedlingsinpineforests.	

ScientistsUseCreativityToDevelop Hypotheses/Theories

Thoreau must have had creative insights to examine and assimilate the observations he made in orderto link the distribution ofseeds bysquirrels (etc.)to the reproductive benefit gained bythe trees.Italsotookcreativitytolinkthatsquirrelscollectandtransportseedsasfoodwiththe corollary that trees use this process as a beneficial dispersion. Finally, Thoreau was creative in drawing an analogy between crop rotation that farmers normally do with the natural process of seed dispersal and renewal (succession).

Designing Instruction To Have Students Explicitly & Reflectively Consider NOS Using the Historical Episode

The ultimate goalis to designcurriculumthat hasstudents examine the problems encountered by past scientists inawaythat promotesmaking importantconnectionstotherelevant NOSaspects.

Designing Background Information

Insufficient background information can hinder students' ability to critically evaluate problems, so teachers must carefully prepare them with historical detail. This can take various forms, such as prerequisite conceptual knowledge or understanding the interests of a specific scientist or philosophical leanings.of that scientist, and information about any history of ideas, etc. that provides a context for the problem. This is certainlythe case with the Thoreau example

For the Thoreau lessons, I began the first day of instruction by briefly (15 minutes) giving students a summary of Thoreau's interests in natural history, his commitment to the empirical methods derived from the Scientific Revolution, and the influence of his Romantic perspectives on his understanding of nature. During the subsequent lesson, students were invited to read transcripts from Thoreau and his contemporaries, much in the spirit of a case-study approach so thattheycould interpretthe evidence and conclusions made bythe actualscientistsofthe period. The selectionofthese transcripts came as a result ofthe initialphase ofcurriculumdevelopment.

Designing The Problem Of Interest

Teachers are required to apply their creativity in order to take components of the work they did during the first phase of research and change it for their own pedagogical needs. The design of the primaryproblem is, ofcourse, oneofthe more significant and time-consuming aspectsofthe processofdeveloping the curriculum. There are certain instances fromthe historyofscience that lend themselves to the experience of having students examine data. These instances include the problems that are found and the evidence that is utilized to address them. It is possible that the datacanbecollected straight fromthehistoryofresearchand then somewhat adjusted inorderto make it more accessible for use in the classroom (for example, Howe, 2007). There are other instances in which the instructor will be required to consider the conceptual concerns that are broughtupintheproblem(thatis,theexplanationsandtheevidence)andcomeupwitha fabricated scenario that is indicative ofthe issues that are brought up in the problem. In the case oftheThoreauepisode,thiswasthesituation. Inthissection, I tookthe materialthat wasgleaned fromthe historical research (which is summarized in Table 1) and conceived up an issue for the students to think about (Appendix A – The Farmers' issue). Immediately after the students have been provided with the necessary background knowledge, the instructor can then present them with the primary issue that they should take into consideration (Appendix A). Students are encouraged to evaluate presented evidence and come up with answers in small groups, focusing on active participation. They differentiate between evidence, conclusions, questions, and explanationsforthe Thoreau case.Their suggestionsare recorded forcomparison. The classthen engages in discussions, exchanges explanations, and the instructor provides supplementary explanations when students don't produce one.

Conclusion

Summary: AdvantagesOfThisMethod

A problem-based lesson or lessons that are derived from events that occurred in the history of science are described in the approach that came before it. This technique outlines how to incorporate explicit and reflective NOS education. There are a number of subfields within the fields of science education and cognitive science research that demonstrate support for the advantages of this strategy.

In the first place, the technique is predicated onthe idea that the primaryobjective ofresearch is to find solutions to problems. Therefore, inorderto the greatest extent possible, courses that aim to teach students "about science" should be carried out in a manner that is consistent with theway scientists conduct their work. As a result of this, the method emphasizes to educators the importance of employing specific guiding questions in order to identifythe significant problems ofinterest,theevidencethatthescientistsutilized inorderto developexplanationsto account for the problems, and the disparities (if applicable) in the explanations that were proposed. As a result, puttinga focusonexplanationsandevidence inthecurriculumis inlinewithwhat science educators consider to be fundamental processes in science (Duschl, 1990). Furthermore, it provides students with the opportunity to develop critical reasoning skills in the context of argumentation (Monk & Osborne, 1997).

Thesecond benefit ofthe method isthat it connectstheteachingofNOSto alearningexperience thatisrichincontextandinvolvesthehistoryofscience.AccordingtoClough(2006b),the advantage of this is that when students study NOS within a contextual framework, they are less likely to exit training with dualistic thinking of NOS tenets. This is because students learn NOS within a context that is relevant to their lives. To put it another way, whenthe educationofNOS is not linked to context (for example, when NOS is taught solely through the use of "black box" exercises), students are more likely to interpret NOS to besomething that only applies in termsof the decontextual activity and not with regard to the actual practice of science.

In conclusion, the strategy is based on research (Howe & Rudge, 2005; Khishfe & Abd-El-Khalick, 2002) that demonstrates the significance and effectiveness of encouraging students to acquire core NOS tenets in a manner that is both explicit and reflective. In this context, the primarypoint is that the principles of the NOS ought to be regarded as cognitive constructs, and as such, theyare something that students arerequired to learn in a meaningful way on their own, with the teacher providing assistance in scaffolding their way of understanding concepts. Therefore, it is imperative that students have the opportunity to expand upon their preexisting ideas about science through the course of their education. This approach places an emphasis, in accordance with the constructivist theory, on the cognitive learning of NOS through the combination of the reflective component of NOS probes and subsequent discussions in bothsmall groups and the entire class.

I have incorporated the Thoreau lessons in my ecologyunit over the course of three consecutive semesters, and I have been impressed with the manner in which students have handled the readings and the manner in which they discussed links to NOS. Furthermore, during the examination for the environment unit that I was teaching, I asked students questions that werenot contextualized about NOS and asked themto reflect on their understanding of the topic. Not only did a sizeable percentage of students provide opinions that were reasonably well-informed, but what is maybe even more significant is that they backed up their responses with particular aspects of the Thoreau case. It is true that I am careful not to overextrapolate the effect of asingle quick intervention on the stubborn ideas of NOS held by students; yet, the fact remainsthat my anecdotal experience and evidence are encouraging, and the method does correspond with theoretical approaches for how we can teach contextualized NOS concepts. Readers whoare interested ina more empiricalreview ofthe effect ofa comparable wayofeducationutilizing acase-studyapproachtolearningsickle-cellanemiaareurgedtotakealookatthepaperthat was published in The American BiologyTeacher (Howe, 2007) or in another publication (Howe & Rudge, 2005).

References

- Bhandari, R. (2023). Deep Ecological Consciousness and Interconnectedness between Humans and Nature in Thoreau's Poems. KMC Journal, 5(1), 102-114.
- Hossain, M. A., Chowdhury, M. S. Y., Rahman, M. Z., & Islam, M. S. Ecopoetry and Environmental Consciousness in Ralph Waldo Emerson, Henry David Thoreau and Walt Whitman.
- Furtak,R.A. (2005).HenryDavidThoreau.
- Sajulga, M. M. A., & Canayong, N. J. R. The Role of Nature to Self-Actualization in HenryDavid Thoreau's Poems.
- Corsa, A. J. (2015). Henry David Thoreau: Greatness of Soul and Environmental Virtue. Environmental Philosophy, 12(2), 161-184.
- Finley, J. (2013). "JusticeintheLand": Ecological Protest inHenryDavidThoreau's Antislavery Essays. The Concord Saunterer, 21, 1-35.
- Howe, E. M. (2009). Henry David Thoreau, forest succession & the nature of science: a method for curriculum development. The American Biology Teacher, 71(7), 397-404.
- McKusick, J. C., & McKusick, J. C. (2000). Henry David Thoreau: Life in the Woods. Green Writing: Romanticism and Ecology, 141-169.
- McGinnis, J. A. (2023). Henry David Thoreau and Modern Sustainability. In The Palgrave Handbook of Global Sustainability (pp. 2283-2290). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Buell, L. (2005). Ecological Contemplation as Spiritual Practice: The Case of Henry David Thoreau. In Available on-line at the website of Harvard University Center for the Environment, FORE: Forum on Religion and Ecology: http://environment. harvard. edu/(accessed 2007August).
- Minson, M. (2001). 'Intelligence with the Earth': Jonathan Bate's Ecopoetics and Henry David Thoreau. Green Letters, 3(1), 8-26.
- Finley,J.S.(Ed.).(2017).HenryDavidThoreauinContext.CambridgeUniversityPress